

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

2015 FEB 13 A 10: 26

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

<p>Aatrix Software, Inc.,  Plaintiff,  v.  Greenshades Software, Inc.  Defendant.</p>	<p>Civil Action No. <u>3:15-cv-1104-J-20me</u>  <b>COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</b>  <b>[INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SOUGHT]</b></p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

For its Complaint against Defendant Greenshades Software, Inc., a Florida corporation with its registered address and principal place of business at 7020 AC Skinner Parkway, Suite 100, Jacksonville, FL 32256 (“Greenshades”), Plaintiff Aatrix Software, Inc., a North Dakota corporation with its principal place of business at 2100 Library Circle, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58201 (“Aatrix”) states and alleges the following:

**JURISDICTION**

1. This Complaint is for patent infringement and injunctive relief against Defendant Greenshades Software, Inc., a Florida corporation with its principal place of business at 7020 AC Skinner Parkway, Suite 100, Jacksonville, FL 32256.
2. Subject matter jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §1338.
3. Personal jurisdiction is based upon Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(e) and Florida Statutes §48.193.
4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400.
5. The products at issue in this lawsuit are the Greenshades Tax Filing Center(“TFC”), which is the product name for an installed software package made, offered

for sale, and sold or licensed by Defendant Greenshades, and the Greenshades Payroll Tax Service("PTS"), which is the product name for software accessed and used over the Internet, and which is also made, offered for sale, and sold or licensed by Defendant Greenshades.

6. A copy of the accused TFC installed software package was acquired on behalf of the Plaintiff and installed on a computer, examined and analyzed. Defendant Greenshades publishes on the Internet numerous videos that purport to show the operation of the TFC and PTS software which were also examined.

7. Plaintiff Aatrix, through its counsel, wrote Defendant Greenshades and requested that Greenshades allow examination and analysis of its software, and an agreement permitting such examination and analysis was entered into between the parties. Subject to the agreement, counsel for Aatrix caused an examination and analysis of the TFC software to be conducted, and determined that probable cause exists to believe that the TFC software infringes US Patent 7,171,615 Patent owned by Plaintiff Aatrix.

8. Defendant Greenshades, by and through its counsel, also provided a letter dated November 17, 2014 and Aatrix understands from that letter that the PTS software contains the same material structures as the TFC software, with the difference that some elements of the PTS software are accessible on servers over the Internet, as opposed to being part of an installed software package.

### **PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

9. On January 30, 2007, United States Letters Patent No. 7,171,615 was duly and legally issued to Arthur D. Jensen and Steven H.N. Lunseth for a Method and Apparatus for Creating and Filing Forms (the "615 Patent"). The '615 patent was assigned to Plaintiff

Aatrix as reflected on the face of the patent and in the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”), and Plaintiff Aatrix has owned the ‘615 Patent throughout the period of the defendant’s infringing acts and still owns the patent by assignment. A copy of the ‘615 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

10. Defendant Greenshades has infringed and is still infringing the ‘615 Patent by making, selling, and using methods and apparatuses that embody the patented invention, and Defendant Greenshades will continue to do so unless enjoined by this court.

11. Plaintiff Aatrix has complied with the statutory requirement of placing a notice of the ‘615 Patent on the products it manufactures and sells by placing such notice on Aatrix’s website, through which Aatrix’s software products are obtained by purchasers. Plaintiff Aatrix has notified Defendant Greenshades of its patent, and its concerns that Defendant Greenshades has and continues to infringe the ‘615 Patent.

12. The Greenshades products that infringe the ‘615 Patent are the TFC and the PTS, which are made, used, offered for sale and sold by Greenshades, or made available by Greenshades for licensed use over the Internet. It is the understanding of Plaintiff Aatrix that the difference between the TFC and the PTS is that the TFC is an installed software package, while the PTS is software as a web service, hosted on servers and accessed by a user over the Internet.

13. On information and belief, Defendant Greenshades has known of the ‘615 Patent since its date of issue and has knowingly and willfully infringed the ‘615 Patent in willful disregard of the patent rights held by Plaintiff Aatrix.


14. The acts and infringements by Defendant Greenshades as alleged herein have caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff Aatrix harm and damages, including but not limited to lost sales and profits.

15. Aatrix further states and alleges that on or about January 15, 2015 the USPTO caused a Notice of Allowance to issue for a patent application Ser. No. 11/698,575 which is a continuation of the '615 Patent. Aatrix has provided Defendant Greenshades with a copy of the Notice of Allowance and has notified Defendant that Plaintiff Aatrix believes that the TFC and PTS will also infringe one or more claims of the newly allowed Patent. Plaintiff respectfully requests the right to amend its Complaint to assert such further claims of patent infringement once the new patent issues.

Therefore, Plaintiff Aatrix requests:

- (a) Judgment that the TFC and PTS softwares infringe, and have infringed Aatrix's '615 Patent,
- (b) a preliminary and final injunction against the continuing infringement,
- (b) an accounting for damages, including but not limited to Aatrix's lost sales and profits, or in the alternative, a reasonable royalty, and a trebling of damages because of the knowing, willful, and wanton nature of Defendant Greenshade's conduct;
- (d) interest and costs;
- (e) an award of Plaintiff Aatrix's attorneys' fees and costs in this action; and
- (f) such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: February 12, 2015

By   
Joanne M. O'Connor  
(Fla. Bar No. 0498807)  
**Jones Foster Johnston & Stubbs, P.A.**  
505 South Flagler Drive  
Suite 1100  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
561-659-3000  
561-650-5300 (facsimile)  
[joconnor@jonesfoster.com](mailto:joconnor@jonesfoster.com)  
**TRIAL ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
AATRIX SOFTWARE, INC.**

**OF COUNSEL:**

John B. Lunseth (Minn. #65341)  
BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.  
2200 IDS Center  
80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2157  
612-977-8400  
612-977-8659 (facsimile)  
[jlunseth@briggs.com](mailto:jlunseth@briggs.com)

p:\docs\27975\00001\pld\11f7992.doc