

Filed in open Court this 27<sup>th</sup> day of May 2016 nms.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ART+COM Innovationpool GmbH., )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

GOOGLE INC., )

Defendant. )

C.A. No. 14-cv-217-TBD

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

**VERDICT FORM**

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below. Please answer each and every question on this verdict form, unless otherwise directed. As used herein, "ACI" means ART+COM Innovationpool GmbH. As used herein, "Google" means Google Inc.

**QUESTION NO. 1**

Do you find that ACI has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google's use of the accused Google Earth products infringes the following claims of U.S. Patent No. Re 44,550?

	YES (for ACI)	NO (for Google)
Claim 1		✓
Claim 3		✓
Claim 14		✓
Claim 28		✓

2016 MAY 27 PM 2:06

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BC



**QUESTION NO. 4**

If you answered yes to Question No. 2 and no to Question No. 3, do you find that Google has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. Re 44,550 are invalid as obvious based on SRI's TerraVision system and the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the alleged invention?

	YES (for Google)	NO (for ACI)
Claim 1		
Claim 3		
Claim 14		
Claim 28		

N/A



